PERSPECTIVES OF PARENTS, LEARNERS AND EDUCATORS FROM VANDERBIJLPARK SECONDARY SCHOOLS ON OBLIGATORY PRESCRIBED SCHOOL UNIFORMS

Ilani Wilken B. Tech. Post School Education

Dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of M.Tech: Fashion in the Department of Visual Arts and Design, Faculty of Human Sciences, Vaal University of Technology.

Supervisor: Prof. A.M. van Aardt

December 2007 VANDERBIJLPARK

Opinions expressed and conclusions arrived at, are those of the author and are not necessarily to be attributed to the Vaal University of Technology.

DECLARATION

This dissertation is the result of my own independent work, except where otherwise										
stated.	All	sources	used	are	acknowledged	by	giving	explicit	references.	A
bibliog	raphy	is appen	ded.							
Signed:						-				
Date:										

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I want to make use of this opportunity to express my sincerest appreciation to the following outstanding people for their contributions to my studies.

- Professor A.M. van Aardt, my supervisor, for her special guidance, encouragement and support. Without her I would not have been able to complete these studies.
- Professor F. Steyn for the statistical analyses and data processing. It was a privilege to work with such a competent academic.
- Dijana Wilson who helped and supported me tremendously with the technical aspects and layout of my dissertation.
- The principals, educators and respondents who supported me and helped me to complete the questionnaires.
- My husband Thinus, and my daughter Lané who sacrificed so much time, for their patience and support throughout my studies.
- My father and mother, who helped, supported and encouraged me with pride.
- My colleagues at the Department of Visual Arts and Design, especially Annemarie, Sandra, Hanlie, Anita, Louise and Valerie for their interest, support and encouragement.
- Kiren Thatiahah, the HoD, for his motivation, trust and support.

Finally, I thank GOD for all his blessings and grace and for making it possible for me to complete these studies successfully.

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my husband, Thinus, my daughter, Lané, my father, Gerhard and my mother Trien.

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Supporters of school uniforms argue that uniforms serve as a social and economic equaliser, reducing competition regarding clothing and reducing demands on parents to buy expensive, trendy clothes. Other benefits mentioned are functionality, time saving, appearance, safety, and improved academic standards and behaviour. Opponents of school uniforms differ maintaining that compulsory uniform infringes on learners' constitutional rights to self-expression. The problem statement encompasses the desirability and viability of obligatory school uniforms in South Africa.

AIM: The broad aim of this study is to determine the perspectives of parents, learners and educators at public schools in Vanderbijpark on obligatory school uniforms.

METHODOLOGY: A structured questionnaire was used to gather data. Section 1 comprised general information, section 2 dealt with the advantages and disadvantages of obligatory school uniforms and section 3 contained options for more trendy school uniforms. A random stratified sample of learners in two grade 10 classes and their parents and educators was chosen from four selected schools.

RESULTS: Most of the parents were between 41 and 45 years of age, by far the majorities was white, nearly a quarter had a diploma/certificate and another quarter a degree, nearly three-quarters were married or traditionally married, and nearly a third spent R901-R1200 per year on school uniforms. Most parents and educators and nearly two-thirds of the learners were highly in favour of school uniforms. Regarding the advantages and disadvantages of eight factors with reference to obligatory school uniforms as perceived by parents, learners and educators, the educators rated seven of the eight factors higher than parents and learners did, while parents rated the same factors higher than learners did, implying that learners were the least positive. The order in which they ranked the advantages was often the same or similar. They all ranked the competition aspect first, the academic aspect seventh and the economic aspect eighth, while functionality was ranked either third or fourth. Regarding preferences for more trendy uniforms, three-quarter pants and sandals were rated very low in popularity. All the stakeholders were in favour of the more traditional pants, shirt and proper shoes for both boys and girls, with a slightly more informal jacket instead of the traditional blazer.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACT					
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF THE STUDY					
1.1	Introduction				
1.2	Problem statement	3			
1.3	Objectives	4			
1.4	Research framework				
1.5	Definition of the sample	6			
1.6	Structure of the dissertation	6			
CHAI	PTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW				
2.1	Introduction				
2.2	Non-verbal communication through clothing				
2.2.1	Non-verbal communication defined				
2.2.2	Non-verbal communication by means of uniforms				
2.3	Historical background				
2.4	Dresscodes and school uniforms: Past, present and future, worldwide				
2.4.1	United States of America				
2.4.2	England				
2.4.3	South Africa				
2.5	Global perspective of parents, learners and educators on obligatory school uniforms				
2.5.1	Functionality				
2.5.2	Economic advantages and disadvantages				
2.5.3	Appearance				
2.5.4	Competition				
2.5.5	Time-saving aspect				
2.5.6	Academic standards and behaviour				
2.5.7	Safety considerations				
2.5.8	Social benefits				

2.6 Summary

CHAPTER 3 – RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 Development of the questionnaire
- 3.3 Pilot testing of the questionnaire
- 3.4 Study population and sample selection
- 3.5 Questionnaire administration and data gathering
- 3.6 Data processing and statistical analysis
- 3.7 Reliability, validity and ethical considerations of the study
- 3.8 Summary

CHAPTER 4 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

- 4.1 Introduction
- 4.2 Demographic characteristics of parents
- 4.3 Psychometric properties of the measuring instrument
- 4.3.1 Validity
- 4.3.1.1 Content validity in this study
- 4.3.1.2 Construct validity in this study
- 4.3.2 Reliability
- 4.4 Opinion of parents, learners and educators regarding prescribed school uniforms
- 4.5 Opinions of parents, learners and educators regarding school uniforms in Practice
- 4.6 Time of purchasing school uniforms
- 4.7 Functionality of school uniforms
- 4.8 Economic advantages and disadvantages
- 4.9 Appearance
- 4.10 Competition
- 4.11 Time-saving aspect
- 4.12 Academic standards and behaviour
- 4.13 Safety consideration

- 4.14 Social benefits
- 4.15 Approach towards a more modern and slightly more informal school uniform
- 4.16 Comparison between groups of respondents: Practical significance of the difference in means for advantages and disadvantages of obligatory school uniforms
- 4.17 Summary

CHAPTER 5 – CONCLUSIONS

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Conclusions
- 5.3 Limitations
- 5.4 Recommendations for future research
- 5.5 Recommendations to all the stakeholders: Parents, learners and educators
- 5.6 Recommendations to the department of Education
- 5.7 Concluding remark

BIBLIOGRAPHY

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Research Framework

5

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Categories and subsets of perspectives of parents, learners and educator					
	on obligatory school uniforms					
Table 2	Sample size and response rate					
Table 3	Demographic information of parents					
Table 4 a	Factors extracted, total variance explained and range of communalities on the statements for each school uniform subscale: Parents					
Table 4 b	Factors extracted, total variance explained and range of communalitie on the statements for each school uniform subscale: Learners					
Table 4 c	Factors extracted, total variance explained and range of communalities on the statements for each school uniform subscale: Educators					
Table 5 a	Coefficient Alpha for factors related to mandatory school uniforms: Parents					
Table 5 b	Coefficient Alpha for factors related to mandatory school uniforms: Learners					
Table 5 c	Coefficient Alpha for factors related to mandatory school uniforms: Educators					
Table 6 a	Opinion on obligatory school uniforms: Parents					
Table 6 b	Opinions on obligatory school uniforms: Learners					
Table 6 c	Opinions on obligatory school uniforms: Educators.					
Table 7 a	School uniforms in practice: Parents					
Table 7 b	School uniforms in practice: Learners					
Table 7 c	School uniforms in practice: Educators					
Table 8 a	Purchasing of school uniforms: Parents					
Table 8 b	Purchasing of school uniforms: Learner					
Table 8 c	Purchasing of school uniforms: Educators					

- **Table 9 a** Functionality of school uniforms: Parents
- **Table 9 b** Functionality of school uniforms: Learners
- Table 9 c
 Functionality of school uniforms: Educators
- **Table 10 a** Economic advantages/disadvantages: Parents
- **Table 10 b** Economic advantages/disadvantages: Learners
- **Table 10 c** Economic advantages/disadvantages: Educators
- Table 11 a
 Appearance: Parents
- Table 11 b
 Appearance: Learners
- **Table 11 c** Appearance: Educators
- Table 12 a
 Competition: Parents
- Table 12 b
 Competition: Learners
- **Table 12 c** Competition: Educators
- Table 13 a
 Time-Saving Aspect: Parents
- **Table 13** b Time-Saving Aspect: Learners
- **Table 13 c** Time-Saving Aspect: Educators
- **Table 14 a** Academic Standards and Behaviour: Parents
- **Table 14 b** Academic Standards and Behaviour: Learner
- Table 14 c Academic Standards and Behaviour: Educators
- Table 15 a
 Safety considerations: Parents
- **Table 15 b** Safety considerations: Learners
- **Table 15 c** Safety Considerations: Educators
- **Table 16 a** Social benefits: Parents
- Table 16 b
 Social benefits: Learners
- Table 16 c Social benefits: Educators
- Table 17 a Parents' opinion on more trendy school uniforms for girls
- **Table 17 b** Learners' opinion on more trendy school uniforms for girls

Table 17 c	Educators' opinion on more trendy school uniforms for girls
Table 18 b	Parents' opinion on more trendy school uniforms for boys Learners' opinion on more trendy school uniforms for boys
Table 18 c	Educators' opinion on more trendy school uniforms for boys Practical significance of the difference in means for various aspects on school uniforms
Table 20	Comparison between means of parents, learners and educators with reference to various aspects of school uniforms

LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure A Department of Education: Letter of permission

Annexure B Questionnaire: Parents

Annexure C Questionnaire: Learners

Annexure D Questionnaire: Educators

Annexure E Language Editing Certificate

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

NON-VERBAL COMMUNICATION: This refers to the use of symbols for

communicating an idea or thought and implies the communication through the clothes

that we wear which does not involve verbal expressions through speaking or writing

(Marshall, Jackson, Stanley, Kefgen & Touchie-Specht 2000:4).

SCHOOL WEAR: This can be considered a school uniform when it serves as a

group emblem, certifies an institution, reveals individuals' relative positions and

suppresses individuality (Wilkins 1999:19-22). Kaiser (1998:378) adds that uniforms

prescribe a certain standard and style of dress.

SCHOOL DRESS CODE POLICY: School dress code policies tell learners what

they are not allowed to wear while obeying a set of rules concerning appearance

(Weitzel 2004:6). Joseph (1986:144) points out that dress codes set limits on the

styles of everyday clothes, in this case school wear, that may be worn within the

context of the organisational culture, in this case the school culture.

GYM: This garment can be defined as a sleeveless tunic or pinafore worn over a

blouse, which originally became popular for sportswear, as it was shorter than the

usual dresses (Cunnington & Mansfield 1969:45-46).

s.u. School Uniform

USA United States of America

xiv